

# Ring Theory

Def<sup>n</sup>: Associative Ring

A nonempty set  $R$  on which two binary operations '+' (called addition) and '.' (called multiplication) are defined is said to be an associative ring if the following axioms are satisfied -

$R_1$ :  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group.

$R_2$ : '.' is associative

$R_3$ : '.' is distributive over '+'

i.e. for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,

$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ , Left distributivity

and  $(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ , Right distributivity

Remarks: (1) Ring (always mean associative ring) is denoted by algebraic structure  $(R, +, \cdot)$  or simply by  $R$ .

(2) Additive identity is denoted by  $0$  (this  $0$  is not our integer zero).

(3) Additive inverse of  $a \in R$  is denoted by  $-a$ .

Def<sup>n</sup>: Ring with unit element

Let  $R$  be the ring. If there exists an element  $1 \in R$  such that  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a \quad \forall a \in R$ , then  $R$  is called a ring with unit element.

Note: This  $1$  is called multiplicative identity and it is not the integer  $1$ .

Def<sup>n</sup>: Commutative Ring

A ring  $R$  is said to be commutative, if  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a \quad \forall a, b \in R$ .

Ex.1: If  $R$  is the set of integers, '+' is the usual addition and '.' is the usual multiplication of integers, then  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit element.

Ex.2: If  $R$  is the set of even integers under the usual operations of addition and multiplication, then  $R$  is a commutative ring but has no unit element.

Ex.3: If  $R$  is the set of rational numbers under the usual addition and multiplication of rational numbers, then  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit element.

Ex.4: Show that the set  $R$  of integers mod 7 under addition and multiplication mod 7 is a commutative ring with unity.

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Let  $R = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  be the set of integers mod 7.

Defined addition and multiplication mod 7 on  $R$  as

$a +_7 b = c$ , remainder when  $a+b$  is divided by 7.

$a \cdot_7 b = d$ , remainder when  $a \cdot b$  is divided by 7.

From the definition of the operations  $+_7$  and  $\cdot_7$ , it is clear that  $0 \leq c < 7$  and  $0 \leq d < 7 \forall a, b \in R$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Both  $+_7$  and  $\cdot_7$  are binary operations on  $R$ .

Now, consider the composition tables for the operations  $+_7$  and  $\cdot_7$  as below

Table 1 for  $+_7$

Table 2 for  $\cdot_7$

From Table 1, it is clear that

$G_1$ :  $R$  is closed under  $+_7$

$G_2$ : Associativity holds for  $+_7$

$G_3$ : Additive identity exists in  $R$  and it is 0.

$G_4$ : Additive inverses of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 are 0, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 respectively and all these belongs to  $R$ .

$G_5$ : commutativity holds for  $+_7$ .

$\Rightarrow (R, +_7)$  is an abelian group, i.e.,  $R_1$  holds.

From Table 2, we observe that

$$(a \cdot_7 b) \cdot_7 c = a \cdot_7 (b \cdot_7 c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in R.$$

$\Rightarrow \cdot_7$  is associative, i.e.,  $R_2$  holds.

Also we can verify that  $\cdot_7$  is distributive over  $+_7$ .

$\Rightarrow R_3$  holds.

Since  $R_1, R_2, R_3$  holds,

$\therefore (R, +_7, \cdot_7)$  is a ring.

Again from Table 2, we see that

$$a \cdot_7 b = b \cdot_7 a \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$\Rightarrow R$  is a commutative ring

And  $\exists 1 \in R$  s.t.  $a \cdot_7 1 = 1 \cdot_7 a = a \quad \forall a \in R$ .

$\Rightarrow 1$  is the multiplicative identity i.e. unity.

Hence  $(R, +_7, \cdot_7)$  is a commutative ring with unity.

—o—

Ex.5: If  $R$  is the set of integers mod 6 under addition and multiplication mod 6, then  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit element.

Note: For Ex.5, we have  $2, 3 \in R \Rightarrow 2 \cdot 3 = 0$ ,  
identity w.r.t.  $\cdot$ .

Thus in a ring, it is possible that  
 $a \cdot b = 0$  with neither  $a = 0$  nor  $b = 0$ .

Ex.6: If  $R$  is the set of  $n \times n$  rational matrices under  
usual matrix addition and matrix multiplication, then  $R$  is  
a non-commutative ring with unity.

Ex.7: Let  $R$  be a ring and  $a, b, c, d \in R$ .  
Evaluate  $(a+b)(c+d)$ .

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Denote  $c+d = x \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (a+b)(c+d) &= (a+b)x \\ &= ax + bx \quad \text{- by right distributivity} \\ &= a(c+d) + b(c+d) \\ &= ac + ad + bc + bd \quad \text{- by left distributivity} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(a+b)(c+d) = ac + ad + bc + bd$ .

Ex.8: Prove that if  $a, b \in R$  then  $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + ba + ab + b^2$ ,  
where by  $x^2$  we mean  $xx$ .

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Data:  $x^2 = xx$

$\therefore$  For  $a, b \in R$ ,  $a+b \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= (a+b)^2 = (a+b)(a+b) \\ &= a(a+b) + b(a+b) \quad \text{- by left distributive} \\ &= aa + ab + ba + bb \quad \text{- " -} \\ &= a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 \quad \text{- by data} \\ &= a^2 + ba + ab + b^2 \quad \{ \because (R, +) \text{ is abelian gr.} \\ &= \text{R.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

\*Ex. 9: If every  $x \in R$  satisfies  $x^2 = x$ , prove that  $R$  must be commutative.

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Given  $x^2 = x \quad \forall x \in R \quad \text{--- (1)}$

Let  $a \in R$ , ring.  $\Rightarrow -a \in R$  where  $-a$  is additive inverse of  $a$ .  
Then  $-a = (-a)^2$  --- by (1)

$$= (-a)(-a)$$

$$= aa \quad \text{--- by a result } (-a)(-b) = ab,$$

$$= a^2 \quad \forall a, b \in R.$$

$$= a \quad \text{--- by (1)}$$

Thus  $-a = a \quad \text{--- (2)}$

Now,  $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b \in R$  --- by closure of  $(R, +)$   
prop.

Then  $a+b = (a+b)^2$  --- by (1)

$$= a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 \quad \text{--- by Ex. 8.}$$

$$= a + ab + ba + b \quad \text{--- by (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = ab + ba \quad \text{--- by cancellation laws}$$

$$\Rightarrow ba = -(ab)$$

(i.e.  $ba$  is additive inverse of  $ab$ )

$$\Rightarrow ba = ab \quad \text{--- by (1)}$$

$\therefore \forall a, b \in R, \quad ba = ab \quad \text{or} \quad ab = ba.$

$\Rightarrow R$  is commutative.

Note: A ring  $R$  in which  $x^2 = x \quad \forall x \in R$ , is called as a Boolean ring.

Thus Boolean rings are commutative.

Ex. 10: If  $a, b \in R$  and  $n, m$  are integers, where  $R$  is a ring, then prove that

$$(na)(mb) = (nm)(ab).$$



③

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Given:  $a, b \in R$ , ring and  $m, n$  are integers.

Then by  $na$  we mean  $a+a+a+\dots$   $n$ -times.

$$\text{L.H.S.} = (na)(mb) = (a+a+\dots \text{ n-times})(b+b+\dots \text{ m-times})$$

$$= a(b+b+\dots \text{ m-times})$$

$$+ a(b+b+\dots \text{ m-times})$$

$$+ \dots \text{ n-times} \quad \text{--- by left dist.}$$

$$= (ab+ab+\dots \text{ m-times})$$

$$+ (ab+ab+\dots \text{ m-times})$$

$$+ \dots + n\text{-times.}$$

--- by left distributivity.

$$= ab+ab+\dots \text{ nm-times}$$

$$= (nm)(ab)$$

$$= \text{R.H.S.}$$

Ex. 11: If  $R$  is a system satisfying all the conditions for a ring with unit element with the possible exception of  $a+b = b+a$ , prove that the axiom  $a+b = b+a$  must hold in  $R$  and that  $R$  is thus a ring.

Sol<sup>n</sup>: As unit element  $1 \in R$ , we have  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a \quad \forall a \in R$

Let  $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b \in R$  --- ①

$$\text{Now } (a+b)(1+1) = a(1+1) + b(1+1) \quad \text{--- by left distributive}$$

$$= a \cdot 1 + a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 \quad \text{--- "}$$

$$= a+a+b+b \quad \text{--- by ①} \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\text{Also } (a+b)(1+1) = (a+b)1 + (a+b)1 \quad \text{--- by right distributive}$$

$$= a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 + a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 1 \quad \text{--- "}$$

$$= a+b+a+b \quad \text{--- by ①} \quad \text{--- ③}$$

From ② & ③, we have  $a+a+b+b = a+b+a+b \quad \forall a, b \in R$

$\Rightarrow a+b = b+a \quad \forall a, b \in R$  --- by cancellation laws  
 $\therefore$  Commutativity holds for  $+$   $\Rightarrow (R, +)$  is an abelian gp.  $\because R_2$  &  $R_3$  holds  $\therefore R$  is a ring.

## Some Special Classes of Rings :

### Def<sup>n</sup>: Zero Divisor

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An element  $a (\neq 0) \in R$  is called a zero divisor if there exists  $b (\neq 0) \in R$  such that  $a \cdot b = 0$ .

Remark: When the ring is not commutative, we have a left zero divisor and a right zero divisor as below :

$$a \cdot b = 0 \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, b \neq 0$$

gives (i)  $a$  as left zero divisor

(ii)  $b$  as right zero divisor.

### Def<sup>n</sup>: Integral Domain

A commutative ring which has no zero divisor is called an integral domain.

eg. The set of integers with ordinary addition and multiplication is an integral domain.

Note: An integral domain must have more than one element. This means that it has at least one nonzero element.

### Def<sup>n</sup>: Division ring

A ring whose non-zero elements form a group under multiplication is called a division ring.

Def<sup>n</sup>: Field

A commutative division ring is called as field.  
i.e. ring  $R$  is a field if its non-zero elements form an abelian group under multiplication.

Theorem 1: If  $R$  is a ring, then for all  $a, b \in R$

(1)  $a0 = 0a = 0$

(2)  $a(-b) = (-a)b = -(ab)$

(3)  $(-a)(-b) = ab$

Proof: Given that  $R$  is a ring.

(1) We know that additive identity  $0 \in R$  and

$0 = 0 + 0$

$\therefore a0 = a(0 + 0) \quad \forall a \in R$

$\Rightarrow a0 = a0 + a0$  -By left distributivity

$\Rightarrow a0 + 0 = a0 + a0 \quad \because 0$  is additive identity

$\Rightarrow 0 = a0$  -By left cancellation law

Hence  $a0 = 0 \quad \forall a \in R$ .

Similarly  $0a = (0 + 0)a \quad \forall a \in R$

$\Rightarrow 0a + 0 = 0a + 0a$

$\Rightarrow 0 = 0a$

Thus  $a0 = 0a = 0 \quad \forall a \in R$ .

(2) By the property of additive inverse,  $\forall a, b \in R$

we have  $a + (-a) = 0$  and  $b + (-b) = 0$

$\Rightarrow [a + (-a)]b = 0b \quad \Rightarrow a[b + (-b)] = a0$

$\Rightarrow ab + (-a)b = 0$  by (1)  $\Rightarrow ab + a(-b) = 0$  by (1)

These shows that  $(-a)b$  and  $a(-b)$  are additive inverse of  $ab$ . But the additive inverse of  $ab$  is  $-(ab)$ ,



which is unique in  $R$ . Therefore we obtain

$$(-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab) \quad \forall a, b \in R.$$

$$(3) \quad (-a)(-b) = -((-a)b) \quad \text{by (2)}$$

$$= -(-ab) \quad \text{by (2)}$$

$$= ab \quad \text{- As additive inverse of } ab \text{ is } -ab \\ \text{and that of } -ab \text{ is } ab.$$

Theorem 2: If  $R$  is a ring with unit element,  $1$ ,

$$\text{then (1) } (-1)a = -a$$

$$(2) \quad (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

Proof: Since  $R$  is a ring with unit element,  $1$ ,

$$\therefore a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a \quad \forall a \in R.$$

By a theorem, we have

$$a(-b) = (-a)b = -(ab) \quad \forall a, b \in R.$$

$$\therefore (-b)a = -(ba) \quad \forall a, b \in R.$$

Hence for  $b = 1 \in R$  we obtain

$$(-1)a = -(1a)$$

$$\text{i.e. } (-1)a = -a \quad \because 1 \text{ is unity}$$

Further, by the same theorem, we have

$$(-a)(-b) = ab \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$\therefore$  For  $a = b = 1 \in R$ , we obtain

$$(-1)(-1) = 1 \cdot 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

## The Pigeon - Hole Principle :

If  $n$  objects are distributed over  $m$  places and if  $n > m$  then some place receives at least two objects.

An equivalent statement of this principle in very useful form is stated as :

If  $n$  objects are distributed over  $n$  places in such a way that no place receives more than one object then each place receives exactly one object.

**Theorem 3:** A finite integral domain is a field.

Proof: Let  $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a finite integral domain.

$\Rightarrow D$  is a commutative ring having no zero divisors. - By definition.

$\Rightarrow$  (i)  $xy = yx \quad \forall x, y \in D$

(ii)  $x, y \in D$  and  $xy = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ ,  
where  $0$  is the additive identity of  $D$ .

Now,  $D$  will be a field, if in addition to commutative ring it is a division ring.

For this we show that non-zero elements of  $D$  form a group under multiplication.

Step I: Let  $a (\neq 0) \in D$ .

Since  $D$  is closed under multiplication, we obtain  $ax_1, ax_2, \dots, ax_n \in D$ .

We show that all these elements are distinct.

On the contrary, assume that

$$ax_i = ax_j \text{ for some } i \neq j$$

$$\Rightarrow ax_i + (-ax_j) = ax_j + (-ax_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow ax_i - ax_j = 0 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{As } -ax_j \text{ is additive inverse} \\ \text{of } ax_j \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow a(x_i - x_j) = 0 \quad \text{— by distributivity}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i - x_j = 0 \quad \because a \neq 0 \quad \text{— by (ii)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i = x_j$$

This contradicts  $i \neq j$ .

Thus  $ax_1, ax_2, \dots, ax_n$  are  $n$  distinct elements lying in  $D$  which already has exactly  $n$  elements.

Step II: Therefore, by the Pigeon-hole principle, these must account for all the elements of  $D$ .

In other words, every element  $y \in D$  can be written as

$$y = ax_i \text{ for some } x_i \in D. \quad \text{— (iii)}$$

In particular,  $a \in D$  can be written as

$$a = ax_p \text{ for some } x_p \in D.$$

$$\Rightarrow a = ax_p = x_p a \quad \text{— by (i) — (iv)}$$

Step III:  $G_1$ : Clearly  $D$  is closed under multiplication, being a binary operation on  $D$ .

$G_2$ : Also multiplication is associative. — by  $R_2$  of  $D$ .

$G_3$ : Let  $y$  be any element in  $D$ .

$$\text{Then } yx_p = (ax_i)x_p \quad \text{— by (iii)}$$

$$= (x_i a)x_p \quad \text{— by (i)}$$

$$= x_i(ax_p) \quad \text{— by } R_2$$

$$= x_i a \quad \text{— by (iv)}$$

$$= ax_i \quad \text{— by (i)}$$

$$= y \quad \text{— by (iii)}$$

Thus  $y x_p = y$  for  $x_p \in D$ .

Similarly we can show that  $x_p y = y$

Hence  $\exists x_p \in D$  s.t.  $y x_p = y = x_p y \forall y \in D$ .

$\Rightarrow x_p$  is multiplicative identity in  $D$  which is denoted by  $1$ , unit element of  $D$ .

i.e. unit element  $1$  exists in  $D$ .

$G_4$ :  $1 \in D$  can be written as

$$1 = a b \text{ for some } b \in D.$$

$\therefore$  for every non-zero element  $a \in D$   $\exists$  some  $b \in D$

$$\text{s.t. } ab = 1 = ba \quad \text{— by (i)}$$

$\Rightarrow b$  is multiplicative inverse of  $a$ .

i.e. multiplicative inverse exists in  $D$  for each non-zero element of  $D$ .

Thus  $G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4$  holds with  $G_5$  viz. (i).

$\Rightarrow$  Non-zero elements of  $D$  forms an abelian group under multiplication.

$\Rightarrow D$  is a field. — By definition.

Hence, a finite integral domain is a field.

Corollary: If  $p$  is a prime number then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the ring of integers mod  $p$ , is a field.

Proof: let  $p$  be a prime number.

Then  $p > 1$  and it has exactly four divisors  $\pm 1, \pm p$ .

$\therefore \mathbb{Z}_p$ , the ring of integers mod  $p$  contains finite number of elements which also commutes under multiplication mod  $p$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a finite commutative ring.

Now, let  $a, b \in \mathbb{J}_p$  and  $ab = 0$ , additive identity  
i.e.  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

$\Rightarrow p \mid ab$  where  $p$  is a prime

$\Rightarrow p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$  - By a result

$\Rightarrow a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

i.e.  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$

$\therefore \forall a, b \in \mathbb{J}_p, ab = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{J}_p$  has no zero divisor.

Thus  $\mathbb{J}_p$  is a commutative ring without zero divisors.

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{J}_p$  is an integral domain.

Also  $\mathbb{J}_p$  is finite.

Hence by a theorem,  $\mathbb{J}_p$  is a field:

Ex. Prove that every field is an integral domain.

Does its converse hold?

Sol<sup>n</sup>: Let  $(F, +, \cdot)$  be a field

$\Rightarrow F$  is a commutative division ring.

$\Rightarrow$  (i)  $(F, +)$  is an abelian group

and (ii) The non-zero elements of  $F$  form an abelian group under multiplication.

$\therefore$  for  $a \neq 0$  in  $F \exists a^{-1} \in F$ .

Let  $a (\neq 0), b \in F$  such that  $ab = 0$ .

Then  $a^{-1}(ab) = a^{-1}0$

$\Rightarrow (a^{-1}a)b = 0$  - By  $R_2$  and  $th^m$

$\Rightarrow 1b = 0$

$\Rightarrow b = 0$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because \text{Multiplicative identity } 1 \in F \\ \therefore \text{By inverse prop. for (i)} \\ \therefore a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a \quad \forall a \in F \end{array} \right.$

Thus  $a \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$ .

Similarly for  $b \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$ .



Hence  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow$  either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in F$   
 $\Rightarrow F$  has no zero divisors.

Thus  $F$  is a commutative ring with no zero divisors.

$\Rightarrow F$  is an integral domain.

$\therefore$  Every field is an integral domain.

But its converse is not true.

For example, consider a set  $Z$  of integers.

It is a commutative ring with no zero divisors.

$\therefore$  It is an integral domain.

But non-zero elements of  $Z$  do not form a group under multiplication ( $2 (\neq 0) \in Z \Rightarrow 2^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \notin Z$ ).

$\Rightarrow Z$  is not a division ring.

Hence  $Z$  is not a field.

Thus every integral domain need not be a field.

Ex. Show that the commutative ring  $D$  is an integral domain iff for  $a, b, c \in D$  with  $a \neq 0$  the relation

$$ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c.$$

Proof: Let  $D$  be a commutative ring.

Necessary Part: Suppose  $D$  be an integral domain

$\Rightarrow D$  has no zero divisors.

$\therefore a, b \in D$  and  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

$$\text{Now, } ab = ac \Rightarrow ab - ac = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a(b - c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a = 0 \text{ or } b - c = 0.$$

$\therefore$  for  $a, b, c \in D$  with  $a \neq 0$  we obtain

$$ab = ac \Rightarrow b - c = 0 \text{ i.e. } b = c.$$

Sufficient Part: Suppose that for  $a, b, c \in D$   
with  $a \neq 0$  the relation  $ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c$ .

Then  $b = c \Rightarrow b - c = 0$ .

Also,  $ab = ac \Rightarrow ab - ac = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow a(b - c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a \neq 0 \text{ and } b - c = 0$$

Hence  $D$  has no zero divisors.

But  $D$  is a commutative ring.

$\Rightarrow D$  is an integral domain.

This completes the solution.

— 0 —